

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,829	12/05/2003	Rino Micheloni	02-AG-381	8549	
23334	7590 07/26/2005		EXAMINER		
FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS, GUTMAN, BONGINI			LE, TOAN K		
& BIANCO	P.L.	•			
ONE BOCA	COMMERCE CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111			2824	2824	
BOCA RAT	ON, FL 33487		DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/729,829	MICHELONI ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	9.
	Toan Le	2824	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
, ,	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	,	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	ted to by the Exami	ner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>*</u>	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
 a)	s have been received		
1.		on No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·		Stane
application from the International Bureau	•	sa in tino reational c	, age
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: <u>East search</u>		

Application/Control Number: 10/729,829

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 10/729,875. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claims 1-12 are anticipated by claims 1-17 of application '875, since applying a programming pulse and at least one additional programming pulse to those memory cells in claims 1-17 of application '875 are species of "applying at least one first programming pulse and at least one second programming pulse to those memory cells" recited in claims 1-12; and varying substantially at each programming pulse, a voltage applied to a control electrode of the

Application/Control Number: 10/729,829

Art Unit: 2824

memory cells of the group in claims 1-17 of application 875 are species of "varying voltage applied to a control electrode of the memory cells between the at least one first programming pulse and the at least one second programming pulse" recited in claims 1-12.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shibata et al. (US 6,426,892) disclose a nonvolatile memory device for storing multivalued data.

Gorman (US 6,101,125) discloses a method programming an electrically programmable memory.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1872. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8.00AM - 5.30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2824

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TL July 24, 2005

RICHARD ELMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800